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## Stormwater Master Planning Considerations



Stormwater master planning can mean many different things....

## Upper Woolper Creek Watershed Case Study



## Upper Woolper Creek Watershed Case Study

- Project partners and collaboration key to the development and implementation of stormwater master plan.


## BOONE COUNTY

KENTUCKY


## Upper Woolper Creek Watershed Case Study



## Hydromodification Issues



## Water Quality Issues

How was Woolper Creek Graded?

1. Information collected was divided into indicators of water quality or indicators of biological health.
2. Each indicator received a grade, $A$ through $F$, according to the results of our study, which were compared to
health and science requirements and health and science requirements a
KDOW scientific information. KDOW scientific information.
The grades from each biological 3. The grades from each biological
health indicator were averaged to health indicator were averaged to
. Similarly, each indicator of water
Suality was averaged to achieve a quality was averaged
3. These two scores were averaged to achieve a watershed health grade.


Water quality issues within the watershed are worst in locations immediately downstream of Upper Woolper Creek watershed study area.

## Water Quantity / Flooding Issues
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## Evaluation of Existing Culverts



## Understanding of Historic Rainfall Events

June 25, 2009 Rainfall Event (Hourly Rainfall Recorded at CVG Airport)

| Time | Incremental Rainfall (in) | Cumulative Rainfall (in) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:00 p.m. | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| 6:00 p.m. | 0.57 | 0.68 |
| 7:00 p.m. | 1.95 | 2.63 |
| 8:00 p.m. | 0.02 | 2.65 |

2.52 inches of rainfall with a 2-hour duration is approximately equivalent to a 10-year storm event.

## Understanding of Historic Rainfall Events

NEXRAD data indicated as much as 4 inches of rainfall


## Upper Woolper Creek Watershed Existing Conditions



## Looking Back to Understand Land Cover Changes



## Looking Back to Understand Land Cover Changes



## Impacts of Impervious Surfaces



## Evaluation of Existing Detention Basins
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Evaluation of Existing Detention Basins

| Basin \# | Basin Name | Owner | Drainage Area (acres) | Impervious <br> Area (acres) | Perc. Imperv. | Storage Vol. (ac-ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Innotrac / Hebron Industrial Park | Private - Industry | 79.67 | 50.26 | 63.09\% | 20.31 |
| 2 | Prologis Park / Amazon / Safeway | Private - Industry | 39.12 | 32.44 | 82.92\% | 7.77 |
| 3 | Xpedx | Private - Industry | 31.14 | 21.60 | 69.36\% | 2.01 |
| 4 | Cornerstone Estates | Residential | 20.64 | 2.88 | 13.95\% | 2.61 |
| 5 | Kroger / Conner Crossing | Private - Commercial | 16.42 | 12.61 | 76.80\% | 3.08 |
| 6 | Penny Lane | Residential | 12.89 | 3.44 | 26.69\% | 0.43 |
| 7 | Shamu Drive | SD1 | 10.76 | 4.32 | 40.15\% | 0.35 |
| 8 | Lauren Meadows Drive | Residential | 7.82 | 2.14 | 27.37\% | 0.06 |
| 9 | 2202 West Horizon | SD1 | 7.50 | 3.09 | 41.20\% | 0.11 |
| 10 | Fister Place Boulevard | Residential | 5.87 | 2.46 | 41.91\% | 0.64 |
| 11 | 2296 West Horizon | SD1 | 5.37 | 2.14 | 39.85\% | 0.22 |
| 12 | Cincinnati Machine Bay Expansion | Private - Industry | 4.02 | 2.65 | 65.92\% | 0.02 |
| 13 | McDonald's | Private - Commercial | 2.67 | 0.89 | 33.33\% | 0.07 |
| 14 | Medical Arts Dr / Gold Star / Heritage Bank | Private - Commercial | 1.95 | 1.37 | 70.26\% | 0.08 |
| 15 | Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints | Private - Religion | 1.74 | 0.76 | 43.68\% | 0.25 |
| 16 | Benjamin Lane | SD1 | 1.72 | 0.91 | 52.91\% | 0.04 |
| 17 | Fifth Third Bank | Private - Commercial | 0.95 | 0.67 | 70.53\% | 0.09 |
| Total | - | - | 250.25 | 144.63 | - | 38.15 |

## Evaluation of Existing Detention Basins
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## Evaluation of Existing Detention Basins

| Basin \# | Basin Name | Owner | LIDAR <br> Storage Vol. (ac-ft) | Design Storage Vol. (ac-ft) | Percent Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Innotrac / Hebron Industrial Park | Private - Industry | 20.31 | 20.53 | -1.1\% |
| 4 | Cornerstone Estates | Residential | 2.61 | 6.69 | -61.0\% |
| 5 | Kroger / Conner Crossing | Private - Commercial | 3.08 | 3.43 | -10.2\% |
| 6 | Penny Lane | Residential | 0.43 | 1.27 | -66.1\% |
| 7 | Shamu Drive | SD1 | 0.35 | 0.63 | -44.5\% |
| 8 | Lauren Meadows Drive | Residential | 0.06 | 0.22 | -72.1\% |
| 9 | 2202 West Horizon | SD1 | 0.11 | 0.52 | -78.9\% |
| 10 | Fister Place Boulevard | Residential | 0.64 | 0.89 | -27.7\% |
| 11 | 2296 West Horizon | SD1 | 0.22 | 0.42 | -49.0\% |
| 12 | Cincinnati Machine Bay Expansion | Private - Industry | 0.02 | 0.34 | -93.1\% |
| 13 | McDonald's | Private - Commercial | 0.07 | 0.12 | -46.4\% |
| 14 | Medical Arts Dr / Gold Star / Heritage Bank | Private - Commercial | 0.08 | 0.11 | -22.0\% |
| 15 | Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints | Private - Religion | 0.25 | 0.44 | -43.3\% |
| Total | - | - | 28.23 | 35.61 | -20.7\% | based storage volume to design-based storage volume (especially on smaller basins).

Identifying Opportunities for Detention Basin Retrofits

| Basin \# | Basin Name | Owner | Drainage Area (acres) | Impervious <br> Area (acres) | Perc. Imperv. | Storage Vol. (ac-ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Innotrac / Hebron Industrial Park | Private - Industry | 79.67 | 50.26 | 63.09\% | 20.31 |
| 2 | Prologis Park / Amazon / Safeway | Private - Industry | 39.12 | 32.44 | 82.92\% | 7.77 |
| 3 | Xpedx | Private - Industry | 31.14 | 21.60 | 69.36\% | 2.01 |
| 4 | Cornerstone Estates | Residential | 20.64 | 2.88 | 13.95\% | 2.61 |
| 5 | Kroger / Conner Crossing | Private - Commercial | 16.42 | 12.61 | 76.80\% | 3.08 |
| 6 | Penny Lane | Residential | 12.89 | 3.44 | 26.69\% | 0.43 |
| 7 | Shamu Drive | SD1 | 10.76 | 4.32 | 40.15\% | 0.35 |

## Statistics on "Big 4" Detention Basins:

- Total drainage area of 166.35 acres represents $66 \%$ of drainage area to all detention basins.
- Impervious drainage area of 116.91 acres represents $81 \%$ of impervious area to all detention basins.
- Storage volume of 33.2 ac-ft represents $87 \%$ of storage volume provided by all detention basins.

| 15 | Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints | Private - Religion | 1.74 | 0.76 | $43.68 \%$ | 0.25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Benjamin Lane | SD1 | 1.72 | 0.91 | $52.91 \%$ | 0.04 |
| 17 | Fifth Third Bank | Private - Commercial | 0.95 | 0.67 | $\mathbf{7 0 . 5 3 \%}$ | 0.09 |
| Total | - | - | $\mathbf{2 5 0 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 1 5}$ |

## Identifying Opportunities for Detention Basin Retrofits
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## Evaluating Opportunities for Detention Basin Retrofits
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Evaluating Opportunities for Detention Basin Retrofits


## Water Quantity / Flood Reduction Benefits

|  | Existing Conditions | Alternative No. 1 Culvert Replacement |  | Alternative No. 2 <br> Culvert Replacement with Detention Basin Retrofits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Culvert Location | Peak Flow <br> Rate (cfs) | Peak Flow <br> Rate (cfs) | Percent Increase | Peak Flow <br> Rate (cfs) | Percent Increase |
| Benjamin Lane | 351 | 356 | 1.4\% | 314 | -10.5\% |
| Lauren Meadows Drive | 362 | 368 | 1.7\% | 327 | -9.7\% |
| 2607 Petersburg Road | 376 | 386 | 2.7\% | 346 | -8.0\% |
| 2903 Petersburg Road | 453 | 464 | 2.4\% | 426 | -6.0\% |
| 2939 Petersburg Road | 462 | 474 | 2.6\% | 437 | -5.4\% |
| Bullittsville Road | 470 | 481 | 2.3\% | 445 | -5.3\% |
| Peel Road | 1,043 | 1,049 | 0.6\% | 1,023 | -1.9\% |
| Culvert Location | WSE (ft) | WSE (ft) | Change (ft) | WSE (ft) | Change (ft) |
| Benjamin Lane | 807.16 | 805.61 | -1.55 | 805.47 | -1.69 |
| Lauren Meadows Drive | 804.53 | 804.56 | 0.03 | 804.37 | -0.16 |
| 2607 Petersburg Road | 798.17 | 798.20 | 0.03 | 798.08 | -0.09 |
| 2903 Petersburg Road | 783.78 | 783.88 | 0.10 | 783.57 | -0.21 |
| 2939 Petersburg Road | 780.65 | 780.68 | 0.03 | 780.58 | -0.07 |
| Bullittsville Road | 776.18 | 776.26 | 0.08 | 775.97 | -0.21 |
| Peel Road | 757.92 | 757.94 | 0.02 | 757.87 | -0.05 |

Strategic detention basin retrofits can help offset the increased peak flow rates and water surface

## Water Quality / Hydromodification Benefits

Bullittsville Road - 2-year, 24-hour


## Water Quality / Hydromodification Benefits

|  | Predeveloped/ <br> Undeveloped | Post-Developed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing Conditions | Existing Minus Flow from Big 4 | Existing Minus Flow from All 17 |
| Peak Flow (cfs) | 353 | 538 | 504 | 470 |
| Minutes $>\mathrm{Q}_{\text {critical }}$ | 168 | 180 | 132 | 123 |
| Sediment (tons) | 120 | 253 | 188 | 168 |
| \% Change from Pre-Developed | Predeveloped/ Undeveloped | Post-Developed |  |  |
|  |  | Existing Conditions | Existing Minus Flow from Big 4 | Existing Minus Flow from All 17 |
| Peak Flow (cfs) | - | 52\% | 43\% | 33\% |
| Minutes $>$ Q Crritical | - | 7\% | -21\% | -27\% |
| Sediment (tons) | - | 111\% | 57\% | 40\% |
| EXCESS Peaks, Duration, and Sediment Transport | Predeveloped/ Undeveloped | Post-Developed |  |  |
|  |  | Existing Conditions | Existing Minus Flow from Big 4 | Existing Minus Flow from All 17 |
| Peak Flow (cfs) | - | 185 | 151 | 116 |
| Minutes $>\mathrm{Q}_{\text {critical }}$ | - | 12 | -36 | -45 |
| Sediment (tons) | - | 133 | 68 | 48 |

- Goal: Reduce excess sediment transport by $100 \%$
- Detention basin retrofits at the 4 biggest detention basins $=49 \%$ of goal
- Detention basin retrofits at all 17 detention basins $=64 \%$ of goal


## Upper Woolper Creek Watershed Case Study

- Understanding of magnitude of stormwater management issues is important to guide stormwater master planning initiatives.
- Identification of stormwater management improvements through stormwater master planning can potentially lead to improvements in water quantity (flood reduction) and water quality (sediment transport reduction) improvements.
- Simple modifications at existing detention basins with excess storage capacity can have a big impact downstream.
- Collaboration and partnerships are critical for stormwater master planning evaluations and implementation.
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## QUESTIONS?

Chris Rust, P.E.<br>513.861.5600<br>chris.rust@strand.com
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